

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION FOR:

**METHOD OF AND APPARATUS FOR TESTING A
SERIAL DIFFERENTIAL/MIXED SIGNAL DEVICE**

Inventor(s):

Sunil JAIN

Greg CHEMA

0533190-054901

FIELD

BACKGROUND

[0003] Figures 1 and 2, for example, illustrate a known testing arrangement and procedure for testing a mixed signal electronic device. The arrangement includes analog test set up 101 and digital test set up 103. The analog procedure starts in step T1, and in step T2 tester driver 102 of analog test set up 101 provides an input test signal through a tester interface unit

104 to mixed signal electronic device under test 106. In step T3, the resulting output test signal from device 106 is applied through tester interface unit 108 to tester receiver 110. Tester 112 receives inputs from tester driver 104 and from tester receiver 110 and in step T4 processes analog data from the device output signal. Tester 112 might be an analog tester or a mixed signal tester. In step T5 tester 112 determines whether the analog data are acceptable. If not, then in step T6 tester 112 adjusts the test signal, and the process returns to step T2. If the analog data are acceptable, then in step T7, tester 112 determines whether device 106 is acceptable. If so the device is accepted in step T8, and the process ends in step T9. If step T7 determines that device 106 is not acceptable, the device is rejected in step T10, and the process ends in step T9.

[0004] Once the analog testing is completed, device 106 is moved to digital test set up 104 and the digital test procedure starts in step T11. In step T12 tester driver 114 provides an input test signal through a tester interface unit 116 to mixed signal device 106. In step T13, the resulting output test signal from device 106 is applied through tester interface unit 118 to tester receiver 120. Processor 122 receives inputs from tester driver 114 and from tester receiver 120 and in step T14 processes digital data from the device output signal. In step T15 processor 122 determines whether the processed digital data are acceptable. If not, then in step T16 processor 122 adjusts the test signal, and the process returns to step T12. If the digital data are acceptable, then in step T17, tester 122 determines whether device 106 is acceptable. If so, the device is accepted in step T18, and the process ends in step T19. If step T17 determines that the device is not acceptable, the device is rejected in step T20, and the process ends in step T19. This testing requires two test set ups 101 and 103 and two test procedures. Alternatively, if tester 112 is a mixed signal tester, the device is left there, and the digital test procedure is performed on it. In either case, it is expensive and time consuming to test a mixed signal device.

[0005] In addition, the accuracy of the test equipment itself must be assured. The electronic device under test is to be utilized as a part of an overall system. In such use, the electronic device is generally mounted on a circuit board, such as a motherboard, and is electrically connected to other components on the circuit board. During testing, however, the

electronic device is connected to test equipment which may not accurately emulate the performance of the components on the circuit board. During such testing, the electronic device is provided with an input signal from the test equipment and applies an output signal to the test equipment; whereas, in use the input signal is received from another component on the circuit board, and the output signal is provided to a further component on the circuit board. The test equipment which provides the input test signal and receives the output test signal generally includes a general purpose tester that is utilized during testing of numerous types of electronic devices, and a tester interface unit that is specially designed for use with the specific electronic device under test. Even though the test equipment is designed to emulate the other circuit components on the motherboard, that emulation is not total. By way of example, signal propagation times may be different. Output impedances, particularly capacitances, may differ. In general, the integrity of the input and output signals is different during testing as compared with during actual use. As a result, performance on the test equipment does not accurately emulate the performance on the circuit board. This problem is exacerbated when there are multiple types of electronic devices, and so multiple types of inputs and outputs, on the circuit board.

[0006] Further, in designing a new electronic device, a proposed design often is simulated on a processing system and evaluated at various points in the design process so that any need for design changes can be made and tested. The processing system emulates not only the electronic device, but also test arrangements and procedures for the device. Again, both analog and digital characteristics of the design of a mixed signal electronic device must be tested.

SUMMARY

[0007] In one aspect, the present invention is a method of and an apparatus for testing a mixed signal electronic device. In the method of this aspect of the present invention, an input test signal is applied to the electronic device. The input test signal has at least one of an analog component, a digital component, and a differential component. The response of the device is monitored on a differential monitoring device to obtain analog data. The response of the device

is also monitored on a tester receiver to obtain digital data. The analog data and the digital data are processed. During the processing, analog data and digital data are fed back and exchanged between the two processing functions so as to optimize the processing. Following the processing, the processed data are compared. Depending upon the result of the comparison, the processing of the analog data and the digital data may be continued. Once the processing is completed, the data are evaluated. If the data are not acceptable, the test signal is adjusted, and the process is repeated. Once acceptable data are obtained, the device is evaluated. If the device is acceptable, it is accepted, but if the device is not acceptable, it is rejected. The process then ends.

[0008] The apparatus for testing a device in accordance with this aspect of the invention thus includes a tester driver for applying to the mixed signal electronic device an input test signal having at least one of an analog component, a digital component and a differential component; a differential monitoring device for monitoring the response of the device to obtain the analog data; a tester receiver for monitoring the response of the device to obtain digital data; a processing unit for processing the analog data and the digital data; a comparison unit for comparing the processed analog data and the processed digital data; and an evaluation unit for evaluating the compared data to determine whether the data are acceptable and to determine whether the device is acceptable. The apparatus might be implemented on a properly programmed general purpose processing system.

[0009] In a second aspect, the present invention is a method of and an apparatus for evaluating performance of an actual test environment and an actual device, such as a mixed signal electronic device, during testing of the device. In accordance with this method, a virtual test environment is created emulating an actual test environment in which the actual device is to be tested, and a virtual device emulating the actual device is implanted in the virtual test environment and is stimulated with an input test signal emulating an actual input signal to be applied to the actual device during testing. The response of the virtual device is monitored on a virtual differential monitoring device to obtain analog data and is monitored on a virtual tester

receiver to obtain digital data. The analog and digital data are processed. During the processing, analog data and digital data are fed back and exchanged between the two processing functions so as to optimize the processing. Following the processing, the processed first and second data are compared. Depending upon the results of the comparison, the processing may be continued. Once the processing is completed, the data are evaluated. If the data are not acceptable, the signal which stimulates the virtual device is adjusted, and the process is repeated. Once acceptable data are obtained, the virtual device is evaluated. If the virtual device is acceptable, it is accepted, but if the virtual device is not acceptable, it is rejected. The process then ends.

[0010] The apparatus for evaluating performance of a test environment and an actual device includes a virtual device, a virtual tester driver, a virtual differential monitoring device, a virtual tester receiver, a virtual processing unit, a virtual comparison unit, and a virtual evaluation unit. These may be implemented on a properly programmed general purpose processing system.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0011] These and other aspects and advantages of the present invention are more apparent from the following detailed description and claims, particularly when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings. In the drawings:

[0012] Figure 1 is a block diagram of a known testing arrangement for testing a mixed signal electronic device;

[0013] Figure 2 is a flow chart of a known testing procedure for testing a mixed signal electronic device;

[0014] Figure 3 is a block diagram of an apparatus for testing a mixed signal electronic device in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

[0015] Figure 4 is a flowchart of a method of testing a mixed signal electronic device in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

[0016] Figure 5 is a block diagram of an apparatus for evaluating the performance of a

test environment and of an actual mixed signal electronic device during testing of the actual device in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

[0017] Figure 6 is a flowchart of a preferred embodiment of a method of evaluating performance of a test environment and an actual mixed signal electronic device during testing of the actual device in accordance with the present invention; and

[0018] Figure 7 is a block diagram of a preferred implementation of an apparatus for evaluating the performance of a test environment and of an actual mixed signal electronic device during testing in accordance with the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

[0019] Figure 3 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of an apparatus for testing a device, such as a mixed signal electronic device, in accordance with the present invention. The apparatus includes a tester driver 10 which is coupled by lines 12 and 14 to a tester interface unit 16. Tester interface unit 16 is coupled by lines 18 and 20 to a device under test 22 and to a differential monitoring device such as a differential oscilloscope 24. The output of device under test 22 is coupled by lines 26 and 28 to tester interface unit 30 and to differential oscilloscope 24. Tester interface unit 30 is coupled by lines 32 and 34 to tester receiver 36. Processor 38 is connected by line 40 to tester driver 10, by line 42 to tester receiver 36, and by line 44 to differential oscilloscope 24. Processor 38 includes a first processing algorithm for processing analog data and a second processing algorithm for processing digital data. The two algorithms are able to feed back and exchange data so as to optimize processing.

[0020] Tester driver 10 and tester receiver 36 are general purpose test equipment suitable for testing various devices. Tester interface units 16 and 30 are specifically designed for coupling tester driver 10 and tester receiver 36, respectively, to a particular device under test 22. Tester driver 10 provides a differential signal through tester interface unit 16 to differential oscilloscope 24 and to device 22, and a differential output from device 22 is provided to oscilloscope 24 and through tester interface unit 30 to tester receiver 36.

[illegible]

7

process ends in step S17.

[0023] Processor 38 of Figure 3 includes a processing unit, a comparison unit, and evaluation units to perform steps S5 to S15 of Figure 4. The apparatus of Figure 3 and the method of Figure 4 are able to provide accurate testing of mixed signal electronic devices in a rapid manner. This is particularly true since multiple tests are not necessary, and the device under test does not have to be moved between multiple pieces of test equipment. Instead, the device can be fully evaluated, as to its analog characteristics, its digital characteristics and its differential characteristics, in a single test procedure within a single test set up.

[0024] Figure 5 is a block diagram of a preferred embodiment of an apparatus in accordance with the present invention for evaluating the performance of a test environment and of an actual device such as a mixed signal electronic device, for example an integrated circuit chip. The apparatus includes a virtual tester-oscilloscope environment 58 and a virtual device 60. Environment 58 includes a virtual tester driver 62 which emulates an actual tester driver and an actual tester interface unit and which is connected by lines 64 and 66 to virtual device 60 and to virtual differential oscilloscope 68. Virtual device 60 emulates an actual device, and virtual differential oscilloscope emulates an actual differential oscilloscope. The output of virtual device 60 is connected by lines 70 and 72 to virtual tester receiver 74 and to virtual oscilloscope 68. Virtual tester receiver 74 emulates an actual tester receiver and an actual tester interface unit. Virtual processor 76 is coupled to virtual tester driver 62 by line 78, to virtual tester receiver 74 by line 80, and to virtual oscilloscope 68 by line 82. Virtual processor 76 emulates an actual processor and includes a first processing algorithm for processing analog data from virtual differential oscilloscope 68 and a second processing algorithm for processing digital data from virtual tester receiver 74. The two algorithms are able to feed back and exchange data so as to optimize the processing.

[0025] Figure 6 is a flow chart of a preferred embodiment of a method of evaluating performance of a test environment and an actual electronic device during testing of the electronic device on the apparatus of Figure 5. In step S21 virtual device 60 is created, and in step S22

virtual tester-oscilloscope environment 58 is created. These two steps might be performed in either sequence. In step S23, virtual tester driver 62 applies a signal to stimulate virtual device 60. That signal emulates an actual test signal that might be applied to an actual device emulated by virtual device 60. In step S24 analog data are obtained by virtual differential oscilloscope 68, while in step S25 digital data are obtained by virtual tester receiver 74. In steps S26 and S27, the analog data and the digital data are processed by the first and second processing algorithms of processor 76. In steps S28 and S29, analog data and digital data are fed back and exchanged between the two processing algorithms so to optimize the processing, which continues in steps S30 and S31. In step S32, the processed data are compared, and in step S33 it is determined whether the results of the comparison indicate that the processing should continue. If so, then the method returns to steps S26 and S27 for further processing of the data. Once it is determined in step S33 that the processing is not to continue, then in step S34 it is determined whether the data are acceptable. If not, then in step S35 the stimulating signal is adjusted, and the method returns to step S23. Once it is determined in step S34 that the data are acceptable, it is determined in step S35 whether the device under test is acceptable. If so, the device is accepted in step S37, and the process ends. If step S36 determines that the device is not acceptable, the device is rejected in step S38, and the process ends. The processing of the first and second data in steps S26, S27, S30 and S31 and the adjusting of the stimulating signal in step S35 can be similar to the respective corresponding steps S5, S6, S9, S10 and S14 performed during the method of Figure 4.

[0026] Virtual processor 76 of Figure 5 includes a virtual processing unit, a virtual comparison unit, and virtual evaluation units to perform steps S26 to S36. The virtual tester-oscilloscope environment of Figure 5 can be implemented on a properly programmed general purpose processing system. As depicted in Figure 7, such a system might include a central processing unit 90, a memory such as a random access memory 92, and an input/output unit 94, all of which are coupled together by a bus 96.

[0027] The apparatus of Figure 5 and the method of Figure 6 enable evaluating of the

